IJEPS 6 (4): 01-15 (2023)

The added value of double inversion recovery (DIR) sequence compared to fluid Attenuation inversion recovery (FLAIR) in identifying Multiple Sclerosis lesions and different cortical subtypes

ZMA Sulayman Mohammad

Radiology Department, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef, 62511, Egypt Corresponding Authors Email: <u>coauthorscgl@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

There is a rising concern about multiple sclerosis (MS) lesions that occur in the cortical gray matter (GM), owing to its direct relationship with the disability and cognition dysfunction that occur during the MS course. Two magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) sequences that aid in the precise identification of these lesions are the Double Inversion Recovery (DIR) and Fluid Attenuation Inversion Recovery (FLAIR) sequences. To study the importance of the DIR for brain lesion detection and the various cortical subtypes distinction in MS-diagnosed patients and its correlations to the level of patient disability by comparing it to FLAIR. Thirty MS patients participated in this retrospective cross-sectional study, who had been previously diagnosed with MS and were routinely followed up at the Radiology Department at Ain-Shams University. Comparing the DIR sequence to FLAIR, the overall MS lesion detection enhanced by 8% (p<0.001). Regarding the detection of cortical lesion subtypes (I–IV), it was discovered that the DIR sequence revealed significantly more lesions than FLAIR (p<0.001). The cortical lesions detected by DIR or FLAIR were found to have positive highly significant correlations with both patients' disability and the MS duration. For lesion identification, DIR is more effective than FLAIR, particularly for cortical and juxtacortical lesions in MS patients.

Keywords: Multiple sclerosis, FLAIR, DIR, Cortical lesions

References

[1] Finck T, Li H, Schlaeger S, Grundl L, Sollmann N, Bender B, et al (2022) Uncertainty-Aware and Lesion-Specific Image Synthesis in Multiple Sclerosis Magnetic Resonance Imaging: A Multicentric Validation Study. Front Neurosci: 16:889808.

[2] Filippi M, Preziosa P, Banwell BL, Barkhof F, Ciccarelli O, De Stefano N, et al (2019) Assessment of lesions on magnetic resonance imaging in multiple sclerosis: practical guidelines. Brain:142(7):1858-1875.

[3] Thompson AJ, Banwell BL, Barkhof F, Carroll WM, Coetzee T, Comi G, et al (2018) Diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: 2017 revisions of the McDonald criteria. Lancet Neurol:17(2):162-173.

[4] Bø L, Vedeler CA, Nyland HI, Trapp BD, Mørk SJ (2003) Subpial demyelination in the cerebral cortex of multiple sclerosis patients. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol:62(7):723-732.

[5] Seewann A, Vrenken H, Kooi EJ, van der Valk P, Knol DL, Polman CH, et al (2011) Imaging the tip of the iceberg: visualization of cortical lesions in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler:17(10):1202-1210.

[6] Saranathan M, Worters PW, Rettmann DW, Winegar B, Becker J (2017) Physics for clinicians: Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) and double inversion recovery (DIR) Imaging. J Magn Reson Imaging:46(6):1590-1600.

[7] Higazi MM, Ghany HSAE, Fathy AW, Ismail MM, Samra MFA (2022) Diagnostic accuracy of double inversion recovery (DIR) in detection of cortical gray matter lesions in patients with MS. Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine:53(1):13.

[8] Elhussein N, Alazmi N, Fadulemulla IA, Hamd ZY, Ahmed AM, Seifeldin SA, et al (2022) Comparative between Double Inversion Recovery and Fluid-Attenuated Inversion Recovery Sequences for Detection of Brain Multiple Sclerosis. Clinical Cancer Investigation Journal:11(6).

[9] Abdelrahman AS, Khater NH, Barakat MM (2022) Diagnostic utility of 3D DIR MRI in the estimation of MS lesions overall load with special emphasis on cortical subtypes. Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine:53(1):47.

[10] Calabrese M, De Stefano N, Atzori M, Bernardi V, Mattisi I, Barachino L, et al (2007) Detection of cortical inflammatory lesions by double inversion recovery magnetic resonance imaging in patients with multiple sclerosis. Arch Neurol:64(10):1416-1422.

[11] Geurts JJ, Bö L, Pouwels PJ, Castelijns JA, Polman CH, Barkhof F (2005) Cortical lesions in multiple sclerosis: combined postmortem MR imaging and histopathology. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol:26(3):572-577.

[12] Ertan G, Arıcı Düz Ö, Ulus S, Metin B (2018) Efficiency of double inversion recovery (DIR) sequence in the evaluation of supratentorial cortical lesions in multiple sclerosis. NeuroQuantology:16(3):23-29.

[13] Moraal B, Roosendaal SD, Pouwels PJ, Vrenken H, Van Schijndel RA, Meier DS, et al (2009) multi-contrast, isotropic, single-slab 3D MR imaging in multiple sclerosis. The Neuroradiology Journal:22(1_suppl):33-42.

[14] Vural G, Keklikoğlu HD, Temel Ş, Deniz O, Ercan K (2013) Comparison of double inversion recovery and conventional magnetic resonance brain imaging in patients with multiple sclerosis and relations with disease disability. Neuroradiol J:26(2):133-142.

[15] Elnekeidy AM, Kamal MA, Elfatatry AM, Elskeikh ML (2014) Added value of double inversion recovery magnetic resonance sequence in detection of cortical and white matter brain lesions in multiple sclerosis. The Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine:45(4):1193-1199.

[16] Hamed W, Fathi W, Mahmoud W, Elhawary G (2019) Diagnostic accuracy of double inversion recovery in delineation of multiple sclerosis lesions and its clinical correlation with expanded disability scoring system. Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine:50(1):114.

[17] Haider L, Simeonidou C, Steinberger G, Hametner S, Grigoriadis N, Deretzi G, et al (2014) Multiple sclerosis deep grey matter: the relation between

demyelination, neurodegeneration, inflammation and iron. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry:85(12):1386-1395.

[18] Elkholy SF, Sabet MA, Mohammad ME, Asaad REI (2020) Comparative study between double inversion recovery (DIR) and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) MRI sequences for detection of cerebral lesions in multiple sclerosis. Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine:51(1):188.

[19] Abidi Z, Faeghi F, Mardanshahi Z, Mortazavi H (2017) Assessment of the diagnostic accuracy of double inversion recovery sequence compared with FLAIR and T2W_TSE in detection of cerebral multiple sclerosis lesions. Electron Physician:9(4):4162-4170.

[20] Almutairi AD, Hassan HA, Suppiah S, Alomair OI, Alshoaibi A, Almutairi H, et al (2020) Lesion load assessment among multiple sclerosis patient using DIR, FLAIR, and T2WI sequences. Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine:51(1):1-8.

[21] Nielsen AS, Kinkel RP, Madigan N, Tinelli E, Benner T, Mainero C (2013) Contribution of cortical lesion subtypes at 7T MRI to physical and cognitive performance in MS. Neurology:81(7):641-649.

IJEPS 6 (4): 16-30 (2023)

Diagnostic Accuracy of Contrast Enhanced Spectral Mammography in Assessment of Indeterminate Breast Lesions in Patients after Breast Conservation Surgery

ZMA Sulayman Mohammad

Radiology Department, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef, 62511, Egypt. Corresponding Authors Email: coauthorscgl@gmail.com

Abstract

Breast cancer in women represents a major public health problem being the most common cancer among women in both developed and developing countries, it accounts for 22.9% of all new female cancers. In Egypt breast cancer accounts for 37.7% of the total new cancer cases. Contrast Enhanced Spectral Mammography (CESM) is an emerging advanced technique that depicts breast tumor angiogenesis. CESM has higher diagnostic accuracy compared to MG with or without US and can depict tumor neovascularity in an analogue manner to breast MRI. To evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of contrast enhanced spectral digital mammography in assessment of indeterminate breast lesions in patients after breast conservation surgery. 30 female patients underwent breast conservative surgery presenting with indeterminate breast lesion on mammography had been evaluated by dual-energy contrast enhanced spectral mammography. The age ranged from 32 to 77 years (mean=50.07). Results of histopathological examinations of surgical or biopsy specimens were obtained and served as the gold standard. The surgical and pathological results of our patients revealed 23/33 (69.7%) benign lesions and 10/33 (30.3%) malignant lesions. CESM had a sensitivity of 88.9%, a specificity of 83.3%, a positive predictive value of 66.7%, a negative predictive value of 95.2%. CESM is a promising tool for increasing the sensitivity and the diagnostic accuracy of conventional mammography in follow-up of patients with breast conservative surgery.

Keywords: Breast cancer, CESM, diagnostic accuracy, breast conservation surgery, patients

References

[1] Azim HA, Ibrahim AS: Breast cancer in Egypt, China and Chinese: Statistics and beyond.J Thorac Dis 6:864-866, 2019.

[2] Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin.339 2019;69(1):7-34.

[3] Helal M., Fouad M., Abu Samra B., et al.: Accuracy of CESM versus conventional mammography and ultra-sound in evaluation of BI-RADS 3 and 4 breast lesions with pathological correlation. The Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, 48: 741-50, 2017.

[4] Petit J.Y., Veronesi U., Luini A., et al.: When mastectomy becomes inevitable: The nipple-sparing ap-proach. Breast, 14: 527-3 1, 2015.

[5] Gaber A., Ramadan M., Zeeneldin A. et al.: Clinico-pathological features of breast carcinoma in elderly Egyptian patients: A comparison with the non-elderly using population-based data. J. Egypt Natl. Canc. Inst., Mar., 25 (1): 5-11, 2015.

[6] Yousef A.F., Khater H.M., Jameel L.M. Contrast-enhanced spectral mammography versus magnetic resonance imaging in the assessment of breast masses. Benha Med J 2018;35:5-12.

[7] Bhavika K., Patel F., Lobbes J.L.: Contrast Enhanced Spectral Mammography: A Review, 24 Aug. 2018.

[8] Kamal R., Helal M., Rasha Wessam R., et al.: Contrast-enhanced spectral mammography: Impact of the qualitative morphology descriptors on the diagnosis of breast lesions. Eur. J. Radiol., 84 (6): 1049-55, 2015.

[9] Roberta A. Jong, Martin J. Yaffe, Mia Skar-Pathiotakis, et al.: Contrast-enhanced digital mam-mography: Initial clinical experience. Radiology, Sep., 228 (3): 842-50, 2013

[10] Kamal R., Helal M., Wessam R., et al.: Contrast-enhanced spectral mammography: Impact of the qualitative morphology descriptors on the diagnosis of breast lesions. Eur. J. Radiol., 84 (6): 1049-55, 2015.

[11] Dromain C., Thibault F., Diekmann F., et al.: Dual-energy contrast-enhanced digital mammography: Initial clinical results of a multireader, multicase study. Breast Cancer Research, 14 (3): R94, 2012.

[12] Diekmann F. and Bick U.: Tomosynthesis and contrast-enhanced digital mammography: Recent advances in digital mammography. Eur. Radiol., 17: 3086-92, 2007.

[13] Fallenberg E.M., Dromain C., Diekmann F., et al.: Contrast-enhanced spectral mammography versus MRI: Initial results in the detection of breast cancer and assessment of tumour size. Diagn. Interv. Imaging, 95 (3): 351-2, 2014.

IJEPS 6 (4): 31-48 (2023)

Assessment of Nursing Clinical Teachers Knowledge and Competencies of Clinical Reasoning

Eman Salman Taie¹, Ahmed Gamal Sallam² ¹Faculty of Nursing-Helwan University, Egypt ²Ismailia Nursing School, Egypt Corresponding Authors Email: <u>ahmedsallam968@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

Background: Clinical reasoning (CR) is the cornerstone of an effective health professions practice in nursing. Aim: was to assess clinical teaching staff's knowledge and competencies about clinical reasoning. Method: A comparative descriptive design was used. All clinical teaching staff in Helwan University (n=71). and Suez Canal University (n=64). Tow tools were used to collect data, clinical teaching staff's knowledge questionnaire and structured interview for clinical teaching staff competencies levels about clinical reasoning. Results: There were 84.5% and 78.1% of studied sample at Helwan and Suez Canal Universities had unsatisfactory knowledge regarding clinical reasoning respectively. Additionally, 50.7 % and 57.8% of the studied sample were partially competent in total clinical reasoning competencies at Helwan and Suez-Canal Universities respectively. Conclusion: There was no statistically significant difference between knowledge about clinical reasoning of the studied clinical teaching staff at Helwan and Suez- Canal Universities p=0.45. Also, there was no statistically significant difference between clinical reasoning competency levels of the studied clinical teaching staff at both of the studied Universities p=0.055. Recommendations: Curriculum should promote reflective practice and clinical teaching staff should participate in continual professional development activities about clinical reasoning. Further studies: Conduct similar studies in other nursing institutions to explore factors that influence clinical reasoning knowledge and competencies among clinical teaching staff.

Keywords: Clinical reasoning, Clinical education, Nursing education, Competencies

References

- [1] G. R. Jenkins, D. Cunningham, M. F. Barcelli, and J. G. Meoli. Transition to wellness: developing a telehealth wellness program to address student fieldwork challenges during the COVID-19 pandemic. (2022). mHealth. 8: 27.
- [2] U. Pascual, P. Balvanera, C. B. Anderson, R. Chaplin-Kramer, M. Christie, D. González-Jiménez, . . . E. Zent. Diverse values of nature for sustainability. (2023). Nature. 620(7975): 813-823.
- [3] C. Koufidis, K. Manninen, J. Nieminen, M. Wohlin, and C. Silén. Unravelling the polyphony in clinical reasoning research in medical education. (2021). Journal of evaluation in clinical practice. 27(2): 438-450.
- [4] H. Klingshirn, L. Gerken, K. Hofmann, P. U. Heuschmann, K. Haas, M. Schutzmeier,
 . . . B. Reuschenbach. How to improve the quality of care for people on home mechanical ventilation from the perspective of healthcare professionals: a qualitative study. (2021). BMC health services research. 21(1): 774.
- [5] N. F. Abdul Rahman, N. Davies, J. Suhaimi, F. Idris, S. N. Syed Mohamad, and S. Park. Transformative learning in clinical reasoning: a meta-synthesis in undergraduate primary care medical education. (2023). Education for primary care: an official publication of the Association of Course Organisers, National Association of GP Tutors, World Organisation of Family Doctors. 1-9. Online ahead of print.
- [6] M. Decker, J. Graham, and M. Stephens. Analytic Decision Gaming: A Tool for Crisis Response and Clinical Reasoning. (2021). Journal of Regional Medical Campuses. 4(1): 1-6.
- [7] A. H. Vinson and K. Underman. Clinical empathy as emotional labor in medical work.(2020). Social science & medicine. 251: 112904.
- [8] C. S. Li. The Dynamics of Critical Thinking Skills: A Phenomenographic Exploration from Malaysian and Vietnamese Undergraduates. (2023). Thinking Skills and Creativity. 51: 101445.

- [9] S. Giuffrida, V. Silano, N. Ramacciati, C. Prandi, A. Baldon, and M. Bianchi. Teaching strategies of clinical reasoning in advanced nursing clinical practice: A scoping review. (2023). Nurse education in practice. 67: 103548.
- [10] C. W. Safranek, A. E. Sidamon-Eristoff, A. Gilson, and D. Chartash. The Role of Large Language Models in Medical Education: Applications and Implications. (2023). JMIR medical education. 9: e50945.
- [11] J. Brentnall, D. Thackray, and B. Judd. Evaluating the Clinical Reasoning of Student Health Professionals in Placement and Simulation Settings: A Systematic Review.
 (2022). International journal of environmental research and public health. 19(2): 936.
- [12] A. Abernethy, L. Adams, M. Barrett, C. Bechtel, P. Brennan, A. Butte, ... K. Valdes. The Promise of Digital Health: Then, Now, and the Future. (2022). NAM perspectives. 2022.
- [13] C. Toraman, C. Akman, A. M. Aytug-Kosan, and G. Korkmaz. The Impact of Social Interaction, Academic Achievement, and Cognitive Flexibility Levels on Clinical Reasoning: Statistical Discourse Analysis. (2023). Journal of Education in Science Environment and Health. 9(1): 44-55.
- [14] A. Akmal, J. Foote, N. Podgorodnichenko, R. Greatbanks, and R. Gauld. Understanding resistance in lean implementation in healthcare environments: an institutional logics perspective. (2022). Production Planning & Control. 33(4): 356-370.
- S. H. V. Wong and Y. Kowitlawakul. Exploring perceptions and barriers in developing critical thinking and clinical reasoning of nursing students: A qualitative study. (2020). Nurse education today. 95: 104600.
- [16] E. W. McDonald, J. L. Boulton, and J. L. Davis. E-learning and nursing assessment skills and knowledge – An integrative review. (2018). Nurse education today. 66: 166-174.
- [17] J. M. Kavanagh and C. Szweda. A Crisis in Competency: The Strategic and Ethical Imperative to Assessing New Graduate Nurses' Clinical Reasoning. (2017). Nursing education perspectives. 38(2): 57-62.

- [18] G. R. Norman, S. D. Monteiro, J. Sherbino, J. S. Ilgen, H. G. Schmidt, and S. Mamede. The Causes of Errors in Clinical Reasoning: Cognitive Biases, Knowledge Deficits, and Dual Process Thinking. (2017). Academic medicine. 92(1): 23-30.
- [19] T. J. Cleary, S. J. Durning, and A. R. Artino, Jr. Microanalytic Assessment of Self-Regulated Learning During Clinical Reasoning Tasks: Recent Developments and Next Steps. (2016). Academic medicine. 91(11): 1516-1521.
- [20] H. M. Huang, C. Y. Huang, J. Lee-Hsieh, and S. F. Cheng. Establishing the competences of clinical reasoning for nursing students in Taiwan: From the nurse educators' perspectives. (2018). Nurse education today. 66: 110-116.
- [21] E. Rohde and E. Domm. Nurses' clinical reasoning practices that support safe medication administration: An integrative review of the literature. (2018). Journal of clinical nursing. 27(3-4): e402-e411.
- [22] N. Christensen, L. Black, J. Furze, K. Huhn, A. Vendrely, and S. Wainwright. Clinical Reasoning: Survey of Teaching Methods, Integration, and Assessment in Entry-Level Physical Therapist Academic Education. (2017). Physical therapy. 97(2): 175-186.
- [23] I. Hege, M. Hiedl, K. C. Huth, and J. Kiesewetter. Differences in clinical reasoning between female and male medical students. (2023). Diagnosis (Berlin, Germany). 10(2): 100-104.
- [24] A. Jang, M. Song, and S. Kim. Development and Effects of Leukemia Nursing Simulation Based on Clinical Reasoning. (2021). International journal of environmental research and public health. 18(8): 4190.
- [25] C. H. Yeh, H. M. Huang, C. L. Kuo, C. Y. Huang, and S. F. Cheng. Effectiveness of e-STORY App in clinical reasoning competency and self-directed learning among students in associate nursing program: A quasi experimental study. (2022). Nurse education in practice. 64: 103456.
- [26] I. Alfayoumi. The impact of combining concept-based learning and concept-mapping pedagogies on nursing students' clinical reasoning abilities. (2019). Nurse education today. 72: 40-46.

- [27] N. Gagnon, C. Bernier, S. Houde, and M. Xhignesse. Teaching and learning clinical reasoning: a teacher's toolbox to meet different learning needs. (2020). British journal of hospital medicine (London, England : 2005). 81(3): 1-8.
- [28] M. Daniel, J. Rencic, S. J. Durning, E. Holmboe, S. A. Santen, V. Lang, . . . L. D. Gruppen. Clinical Reasoning Assessment Methods: A Scoping Review and Practical Guidance. (2019). Academic medicine. 94(6): 902-912.
- [29] J. H. Lai, K. H. Cheng, Y. J. Wu, and C. C. Lin. Assessing clinical reasoning ability in fourth-year medical students via an integrative group history-taking with an individual reasoning activity. (2022). BMC medical education. 22(1): 573.
- [30] F. Hu, J. Yang, B. X. Yang, F. J. Zhang, S. H. Yu, Q. Liu, . . . J. Chen. The impact of simulation-based triage education on nursing students' self-reported clinical reasoning ability: A quasi-experimental study. (2021). Nurse education in practice. 50: 102949.
- [31] W. B. Cutrer, W. M. Sullivan, and A. E. Fleming. Educational strategies for improving clinical reasoning. (2013). Current problems in pediatric and adolescent health care. 43(9): 248-257.
- [32] B. E. Chrismawaty, O. Emilia, G. R. Rahayu, and I. D. Ana. Clinical reasoning pattern used in oral health problem solving - A case study in Indonesian undergraduate dental students. (2023). BMC medical education. 23(1): 52.
- [33] S. R. Liou, H. C. Liu, H. M. Tsai, Y. H. Tsai, Y. C. Lin, C. H. Chang, and C. Y. Cheng. The development and psychometric testing of a theory-based instrument to evaluate nurses' perception of clinical reasoning competence. (2016). Journal of advanced nursing. 72(3): 707-717.
- [34] T. P. Schuhmacher, M. Andresen, and M. Fallahpour. Clinical reasoning of occupational therapists in selecting activities together with older adults with dementia to postpone further development of cognitive decline. (2023). Scandinavian journal of occupational therapy. 30(1): 98-108.
- [35] M. Anderson, J. Stamm, and P. Hills-Meyer. 'Thinking Like a Clinician:'A Pedagogical Approach for Teaching Foundational Clinical Reasoning Skills within a Pre-Preprofessional Undergraduate Anatomy Curriculum. (2022). International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education. 33(2): 248-258.

- [36] L. C. C. Leung. Assessing Clinical Reasoning Competency in Enrolled Nursing Students: Development and Validation of a Novel Clinical Competency Assessment Tool. (2020). PhD Thesis: The Education University of Hong Kong (Hong Kong).
- [37] A. A. Kononowicz, S. Edelbring, M. Sobocan, S. Huwendiek, S. J. Durning, and I. Hege. The need for longitudinal clinical reasoning teaching and assessment: Results of an international survey. (2020). Medical teacher. 42(4): 457-462.
- [38] M. J. Jung and Y. S. Roh. Mediating Effects of Cognitive Load on the Relationship Between Learning Flow and Clinical Reasoning Skills in Virtual Simulation Learning. (2022). Clinical Simulation in Nursing. 64: 16-23.
- [39] K. Orban, M. Ekelin, G. Edgren, O. Sandgren, P. Hovbrandt, and E. K. Persson. Monitoring progression of clinical reasoning skills during health sciences education using the case method - a qualitative observational study. (2017). BMC medical education. 17(1): 158.
- [40] K. Huhn, S. J. Gilliland, L. L. Black, S. F. Wainwright, and N. Christensen. Clinical Reasoning in Physical Therapy: A Concept Analysis. (2019). Physical therapy. 99(4): 440-456.
- [41] K. Kosior, T. Wall, and S. Ferrero. The role of metacognition in teaching clinical reasoning: Theory to practice. (2019). Education in the Health Professions. 2(2): 108-111.

IJEPS 6 (4): 49-xx(2023)